

" "

\*

. / / :

. / / :

---

## **Objective Conditions of the Concept of Trade Secrets, is it Adequate? A Comparative Study**

### **Abstract**

This study focuses on studying both the Jordanian and American legislation, where they implemented objective conditions for defining the concept of trade secrets, through illustrating that in order to consider the information as being trade secrets, they shall be unknown to the public or professionals in the field of such information, have an economic value, and their proprietor shall take precautionary reasonable measures in order to maintain them from being disclosed. The study focused on judicial applications, which concluded that each case shall be handled independently from all other cases, according to the circumstances of each individual case, in order to determine the concept of trade secrets. Even though, there are several factors that may be relied upon, which are considered as a standard or guide for the consideration of information as being trade secrets.

" "



( ) ( )

( ) (Uniform Trade Secrets Act of 1979 (UTSA) as amended 1985)

( ) ( )

( ) ( )

( ) ( )

( ) ( )

( " " ) ( )

World Trade Organization(WTO)

//

//

( )

(Agreement on Trade Related Aspects of Intellectual Property Rights – TRIPS Agreement)

<[www.wto.org](http://www.wto.org)>:" "

:

// :

( )

(Undisclosed Information)

( )



" "

.



:

( )

:

:

( "

( )"

( )

( )

---

( / ) ( )

( )

( ) ( )

Cornish, W.R, **Intellectual Property: Patents, Copyright, Trade Marks and Allied Rights**, §8-01, p. 301.

- " " ( )

:

( // )

( )

( )

( )

---

( // )

( )

( // )

:

*Hogan Sys., Inc. v. Cybresource Int'l, Inc.*, 158 F.3d 319, 324 (5th Cir. 1998); *Am. Precision Vibrator Co. v. Nat'l Air Vibrator Co.*, 764 S.W.2d 274, 277-278 (Tex. App. 1989); *Lance Roof Inspection Serv., Inc. v. Hardin*, 653 F. Supp. 1097, 1103 (S.D. Tex. 1986).

See: Kurt M. Aunders, The Law and Ethics of Trade Secrets: A Case Study, **California Western Law Journal**, p. 209.

(3)See: Thomas J. Oppold, Top 10 Ways to Help Protect Your Franchise's Trade Secrets, **Franchising World**, p. 57; Thomas J. Scott, Jr. & Eleanor M. Hynes, Reducing Your Risk as a Licensor or Licensee in Patent and Technology Licensing-The Important Terms to Consider, **Licensing Journal**, p. 6.



( )

( )

( )

( )

( )

( / / )



( )

*Vulcan Detinning Co. v. American Can Co.*, 72 N.J.Eq. 387, 399, 67 A.339, 344 (1907).

( )

*Sikes v. McGraw-Edison Co.*, 665 F.2d 731, 736 (5th Cir. 1982)

( )

*Metallurgical Indus. Inc. v. Fourtek, Inc.*, 790 F.2d 1195, 1202 (5th

( )

*Lawfinders Assoc, Inc. v. Legal Research* .Cir. 1986)

*Ctr., Inc.*, 65 F. Supp. 2d 414, 423 (N.D. Tex. 1999)

( / / )

( )

( )

( )

( )

( )

( )

( )

( )

---

( / / )

( )

*Pate v. National Fund Raising Consultants, Inc.*, 20 F. 3d. 341 (8th Cir. 1994) :

:

( / / )

" "

(2)See: R. Mark Halligan &Richard F. Weyand, The Economic Valuation of Trade Secret Assets, **Journal of Internet Law**, p. 19.

: ( )

*In re Union Pac. R.R. Co.*, 294 S.W.3d 589, 592 (Tex. 2009); *Fox v. Tropical Warehouses, Inc.*, 121 S.W.3d 853, 859 (Tex. App. 2004).

: ( )

*In re Cooper Tire & Rubber Co.*, 313 S.W.3d 910, 917 (Tex. App.2010); *Texas Integrated Conveyor Sys., Inc. v. Innovative Conveyor Concepts, Inc.*, 300 S.W.3d 348, 372 (Tex. App. 2009); *Astoria Indus, of Iowa, Inc. v. SNF, Inc.*, 223 S.W.3d 616, 636 (Tex. App. 2007).

*In re Bass*, 113 S.W.3d 735, 742 (Tex. 2003) ( )

: ( )

*In re XTO Res. I, IP*, 248 S.W.3d 898, 903 (Tex. App. 2008); *Sharma v. Vinmar Int'l, Ltd.*, 23 L S.W.3d 405, 425 (Tex. App. 2007).

*Global Water Grp., Inc. v. Atchley*, 244 S.W.3d 924, 929 (Tex. App. ( )

.2008)

" "

.



:

( // )

( )

.

.

( )

( )

( )

( )

( )

( // )

( )

:

( )

*Phillips v. Frey*, 20 F.3d 623, 629 (5th Cir. 1994); *Thermotics, Inc. v. Bat-Jac Tool Co., Inc.*, 541 S.W.2d 255, 261 (Tex. Civ. App. 1976).

( )

-

( )

:

Cheeseman, Henry R., **Business Law**, pp. 323-324.

( )

( )

"

( / )



(1)

(2)

(3)

:

( )  
( )

:

---

( // ) : ( )

( // ) : ( )

(3)Ernie, Linek, **A Brief History of Trade Secret Law**, pp. 1-4.

.( )

( )

:( )

:

:

:

.( )

(1)See: Jermaine S. Grubbs, Give the Little Guys Equal Opportunity at Trade Secret Protection: Why the “Reasonable Efforts” Taken by Small Businesses Should be Analyzed Less Stringently,**Lewis & Clark Law Review**, p. 421.

:

( )

:

( )

**(Know-how)**

Alice Goldmann, Strategies for Protecting Your Company's Confidential Information, **Journal of Internet Banking & Commerce**, p. 1;David R. Hannah, Should I Keep a Secret? The Effects of Trade Secret Protection Procedures on Employees' Obligations to Protect Trade Secrets, **Organization Science**, 71; Halan M.John, Protecting Trade Secrets: Steps Every Trade Secret Owner Should Know, **Employee Relations Law Journal**, pp. 22-28.

*People v. Pribich*, 21 Cal. App. 4th 1844, 27 Cal. Rptr. 2d 113

( )

(1994)

/ ( ) ( )

---

. :  
( ) ( )

. :

. :

. :

. :

. :

" "

( )

---

( )

( / ) :  
- ..... " : ( )

( ) ( / ) :  
- ..... " :  
"

" "



" " :

(1)

)

.(

:

(Atlanta State)

( )

":

( / )

( )

:

( )

( / )

" "

"

"

"

"

"

"

"

(2)Magri, Karen A., International Aspects of Trade Secrets Law, retrieved on July 28, 2015 from:

<<http://www.myersbigel.com/wp-content/uploads/2013/07/InternationalAspectsOfTradeSecret.pdf>>

( )

( ) *Buffets, Inc. v. Klinke*

( ) *Manual v. Convergys Corp.*

( )

( ) *Courtesy Temp. Service, Inc. v. Camacho*

" "

( )

---

(1) 73 F.3d 965, 968 (9th Cir. 1996).

(2) 430 F.3d 1132, 1135 (11th Cir. 2005).

(3) See Douglas E. Dexter & Jessica Beckett-Mc Walter, Protecting Trade Secrets: Best Practices from Hiring to Terminating and Everything in Between, **Licensing Journal**, p. 1; Kenneth A. Kovach et al., Protecting Trade Secrets During Employee Migration: What You Don't Know Can Hurt You, **Labour Law Journal**, p. 69.

(4) 222 Cal. App. 3d 1278, 1287–1288 (Cal. Ct. App. 1990).

(5) *In re Union Pac. R.R. Co.*, 294 S.W.3d 589, 592 (Tex. 2009).

" "

( ) *Vacco Indus., Inc. v. Van Den Berg*

*PepsiCo Inc. v.*

(PepsiCo)

( ) *Redmond*

" "

" "

( ) *205 Corp. v. Brandow*

---

(1) 5 Cal. App. 4th 34, 42 (Cal. Ct. App. 1992).

(2) 1996 WL 3965 \* 23 (N.D. Ill. 1996).

(3) 517 N.W.2d 548, 550-551 (IA 1994).

(<sup>1</sup>) *Q-Co Indus., Inc. v. Hoffman*

" "

( )

(<sup>1</sup>) *Morlife, Inc. v. Perry*

( )

(Morlife)

(1) 625 F.Supp. 608, 617 (S.D.N.Y.1985).

( )

.( ) :

:/ / " "

<[http://www.moc.pna.ps/ip\\_docs/DR\\_AMIR\\_KHOURY\\_b1.pdf](http://www.moc.pna.ps/ip_docs/DR_AMIR_KHOURY_b1.pdf)>

( )

(4) 56 Cal. App. 4th 1514, 1521–1522 (Cal. Ct. App. 1997).

" "

( )

( ) *Flotec, Inc. v. Southern Research Inc.*

" "

:

( )

( ) *Whyte v. Schlage Lock Co.*

(Home Depot)

---

(1) *Mai Sys. Corp. v. Peak Computer, Inc.*, 991 F.2d 511, 522 (9th Cir. 1993).

(2) 16 F. Supp. 2d 992, 1000 (S.D. Ind. 1998).

(3) See: *In re Providian Credit Card Cases*, 96 Cal.App.4th 292, 304 (Cal. Ct. App. 2002) (quoting *Ruckelshaus v. Monsanto Co.* 467 U.S. 986, 1002 (1984)).

(4) 101 Cal.App.4th 1443, 1455 (Cal. Ct. App. 2002).



" "

" "

( )

( )

"

"

( )

"

"

"

"

( )

"

"

( )

---

( )

( )

( )

(3) See *Golden v. California Emergency Physicians Medical Group*, No. 12-16514 (D.C. No.3:10-cv-00437-JSW, 2015); *Atlantic Marine Construction Co., Inc. v. U.S.D.C. W.D. Tex.*, 134 S. Ct. 568 (2013); *Roto-Die Company, Inc. v. Lesser*, 899 F. Supp. 1515, 1521 (W.D. Va. 1995); *New River Media Group, Inc. v. Knighton*, 429 S.E.2d 25 (Va. 1993); *Blue Ridge Anesthesia & Critical Care, Inc. v. Gidick*, 389 S.E.2d 467, 468 (Va. 1990).

( / ) : ( )

: : ( )

“ ”

.



.

.  
( )

:

.

.

.

“ :  
( / ) : ”  
( ) ( ) : ”



( / ) ( )

“ :  
.

/ ( ) ( )

"

"

"

"

( )

:

)

)

"

"

( )

( ) ( )

( ) : ( )

:

( )

*In re Cooper Tire & Rubber Co.*, 313 S.W.3d 910, 915 (Tex. App. 2010); *INEOS Grp. Ltd. v. Chevron Phillips Chem. Co., L.P.*, 312 S.W.3d 843, 848-849 (Tex. App. 2009); *In re Union Pac. R.R. Co.*, 294 S.W.3d 589, 592 (Tex. 2009); *Texas Integrated Conveyor Sys., Inc. v. Innovative Conveyor Concepts, Inc.*, 300 S.W.3d 348, 370 (Tex. App. 2009); *In re XTO Res. I, IP*, 248 S.W.3d 898, 901 (Tex. App. 2008).

(١)

---

( )

*In re Cooper Tire & Rubber Co.*, 313 S.W.3d 910, 916 (Tex. App.2010); *INEOS Grp. Ltd. v. Chevron Phillips Chem. Co., I.P.*, 312 S.W.3d 843, 854 (Tex. App. 2009); *Texas Integrated Conveyor Sys., Inc. v. Innovative Conveyor Concepts, Inc.*, 300 S.W.3d 348, 372 (Tex. App. 2009); *In re XTO Res. I, IP*, 248 S.W.3d 898, 903 (Tex. App. 2008); *Sharma v. Vinmar Int'l, Ltd.*, 231 S.W.3d 405, 425 (Tex. App. 2007); *IAC, Ltd. v. Bell Helicopter Textron, Inc.*, 160 S.W.3d 191, 198 (Tex. App. 2005); *Gen. l Universal Sys., Inc. v. Lee*, 379 F.3d 131, 150 (5th Cir. 2004); *In re Bass*, 113 S.W.3d 735, 742 (Tex. 2003).